# **Protecting the Harvest:**

# A survey of Minnesota state-permitted (non-tribal) wild rice harvesters



A Final Technical Report

by

Mae A. Davenport, Bree Duever, Anna Kadrie, Emily Green, Jaren Peplinski, Laura Matson, Michael Dockry, and Gene-Hua Crystal Ng

# **Protecting the Harvest:**

## A survey of Minnesota state-permitted (non-tribal) wild rice harvesters

A Final Technical Report

by

Mae A. Davenport, PhD Department of Forest Resources and Center for Changing Landscapes

> Bree Duever, Forest Stewardship Council

Anna Kadrie Center for Changing Landscapes

Emily Green, MS Center for Changing Landscapes

Jaren Peplinski, MS Center for Changing Landscapes

Laura Matson, PhD Department of Geography, Environment, and Society

> Michael Dockry, PhD Department of Forest Resources

Gene-Hua Crystal Ng, PhD Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences



University of Minnesota, St. Paul Minnesota Kawe Gidaa-naanaagadawendaamin Manoomin [First We Must Consider Manoomin/Psiŋ (wild rice, Ojibwe/Dakota)] Research Collaboration https://manoominpsin.umn.edu

# **Table of Contents**

Acknowledgments	iii
Study Background and Methods	1
Summary of Select Findings	1
Survey Findings	3
References Cited	19
Appendices	20
Appendix A. Survey Cover Letter	20
Appendix B. Questionnaire	21
Appendix C. Research Summary	29

The University of Minnesota is committed to the policy that all persons shall have equal access to its programs, facilities, and employment without regard to race, color, creed, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status, disability, public assistance status, veteran status, or sexual orientation.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was conducted as part of the Kawe-Gidaa Naanaagadawendaamin Manoomin (First We Must Consider Manoomin/Psin) project. The partnerships created through this project are among its most important outcomes. We would like to acknowledge our project collaborators and partners: Mark Bellcourt (White Earth Nation), retired UMN; Jeremy Bloomquist, St. Croix Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin Environmental Services; Perry Bunting, Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe DNR; Trinaty Caldwell (Menominee), UW-Oshkosh student; Eric Chapman (Lac du Flambeau Ojibwe Nation); LeAnn Charwood (Leech Lake Band of Ojibwe), Leech Lake Tribal College student; Jamie Colvin (Seminole Nation of Oklahoma), Haskell Indian Nations University student: Diana Dalbotten, UMN; Mae Davenport, UMN; Peter David, Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission; Karen Diver (Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa), University of AZ; Mike Dockry (Citizen Potawatomi Nation), UMN; Bree Duever, UMN; McKaylee Duquain (The Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin), UMN student; Joe Graveen (Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians), Wisconsin Lac du Flambeau wildrice cultural enhancement program; Emily Green, UMN; Katherine Hagsten, Leech Lake Band of Ojibwe Division of Resource Management; Kari Hedin, Fond du Lac Resource Management Division; Susannah Howard (Citizen Potawatomi Nation), Smith College student; Riley Howes (Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa), Brown University student; Tom Howes (Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa); John D. Johnson Sr. (Lac du Flambeau); Anna Kadrie, UMN student; Shannon Kesner (Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa); Hannah Jo King, UMN student; Erik Kojola, UMN student; Roger LaBine (Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa); Dan Larkin, UMN; Laura Matson, UMN; Gabby Menomin (Forest County Potawatomi), UMN student; Melanie Montano (Red Cliff Band), Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission; Seth Moore (Grand Portage Band of Lake Superior Chippewa); Brena Mullen (Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa), Bemidji State University student; Amy Myrbo, St. Croix Watershed Research Station, Science Museum of MN; Gene-Hua Crystal Ng, UMN; Michael Northbird (Minnesota Chippewa Tribe); Maddy Nyblade, UMN student; Patrick O'Hara, UMN student; Jaren Peplinski, UMN student; Meghan Porter, Great Lakes Inter-Tribal Council, Inc; Richard Robinson (Leech Lake Band of Ojibwe); Cara Santelli, UMN; Riley Schmitter (Chickasaw Nation), UMN student; Nancy Schuldt, Fond du Lac Resource Management Division; Robert Shimek (White Earth Nation); Allison Smart (Sault Ste Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians), Little River Band of Ottawa Indians; Wally Storbakken (Leech Lake Band of Ojibwe); Donovan Strong (Bois Forte Band of Chippewa); Ed Swain, retired MN Pollution Control Agency; Josh Torgeson, UMN student; Darren Vogt, 1854 Treaty Authority; Alex Waheed, UMN student; Lilah White (Mille Lacs Band of Chippewa), UMN student

The survey questionnaire or any data analyzed or reported as part of the survey project do not constitute a claim concerning the location, boundaries, scope or use of wild rice waters as either designated or existing uses. The wild rice waters identified in this survey effort do not constitute a wild rice waters determination list and shall not be used as such in any Tribal, State or Federal legal proceedings.

## **Study Background and Methods**

Manoomin (Ojibwe) or Psin (Dakota) (wild rice, *Zizania palustris*) holds great cultural, ecological, and economic importance. It is Minnesota's state grain and to the Anishinaabe tribes across the Great Lakes it is a sacred food, medicine, and gift from the Creator. Indigenous peoples have been harvesting Manoomin in the Great Lakes region for millennia. Within present-day Minnesota, hand-harvesting natural wild rice has also become an important tradition to non-tribal members; non-tribal harvesting requires a Minnesota harvesting permit. Despite its importance, the plant's range has declined dramatically (Drewes & Silbernagel, 2012). Land use change, altered hydrology (Atkins et al., 1987), and nutrient pollution (Myrbo et al., 2017) are among the threats identified by scientists. Tribal elders, natural resource managers, and harvesters have observed many other relationships that affect Manoomin health including competing vegetation, harvesting practices and climate change (Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa, 2018).

To address these challenges, a unique research collaboration including tribes, inter-tribal organizations, and the University of Minnesota (UMN) was formed in 2017 with a core commitment to prioritizing tribal knowledge, perspectives, and needs in research and engagement. The project, given the Ojibwe name Kawe Gidaa-Naanaagadawendaamin Manoomin/Psin (First We Must Consider Manoomin) by members of the Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa, has since expanded to include participants from 9 tribes, 5 tribal natural resource agencies, and 2 inter-tribal organizations, as well as 20 student researchers.

As part of its work, the partnership seeks to better understand the Manoomin harvest from the perspective of non-tribal wild rice harvesters and to monitor harvesting practices over time. Harvesting among non-tribal, Minnesota permit holders has decreased dramatically since the 1960s. More than 16,000 Minnesota wild rice harvesting permits were sold in 1968 (Minnesota Department of Natural Resources [MN DNR], 2008); in 2018 fewer than 1,400 permits were sold (MN Department of Natural Resources [MN DNR], 2018).

This report describes the findings of a survey of Minnesota state-permitted harvesters that inquired about their values, beliefs, and behaviors associated with wild rice harvesting, processing, and protection. Tribal members, who are not required to obtain harvesting permits, were not surveyed. The purpose of the survey was to better understand non-tribal harvester perspectives and practices in present-day Minnesota. The decision to conduct a survey of state-permitted harvesters was driven by project tribal and inter-tribal organization partners. A questionnaire was developed in collaboration with partners. Research protocol was reviewed by UMN's Institutional Review Board. Individual questions were adapted from surveys conducted by Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission and Minnesota DNR (2008). A survey packet including a cover letter (Appendix A), questionnaire (Appendix B) and postage-paid return envelope were mailed to 1,339 state permit holders (2018 MN DNR database) in three waves during March through June 2019, following strategies for improving response rates (Dillman, 2009). Response was strong; 672 individuals responded for a 53% response rate.

#### **Summary of Select Findings**

Select findings from the survey analysis are presented below in a narrative and in a research summary report (Appendix C). More comprehensive results are presented in tabular form.

Findings from the survey indicate that 76% of state-permitted harvesters identify as male and 23% as female (1% identify as non-binary/gender non-conforming). The majority of respondents identify as

White (97%) or American Indian/Alaska Native (6%). In 2018, the median age of state-permitted harvesters was 59 years old. On average, respondents had riced for 14 years. Respondents harvested an average of 139 pounds of unprocessed rice, though the standard deviation was high. Individual harvests ranged from 0 to 3,100 lbs. The 2018 total harvest reported by 672 respondents was more than 83,000 pounds unprocessed. On average, survey respondents rated the 2018 wild rice "crop" as "poor to fair" in amount and "fair" in quality. On average, respondents reported keeping two-thirds (64%) of their harvest for their own use, sharing 28% with others, and selling about 8% of their harvest. Though more than 83% of respondents overall do not sell any of their harvest. Half of respondents (49.5%) use a commercial processor to process their harvested rice, while 23% process their own rice and 16% have a family member or friend process their harvest.

A vast majority of respondents (80%) believe wild rice needs better protection and 87% support enforcing existing water quality regulations to protect wild rice. More than four-fifths (85%) support expanding wild rice restoration programs such as reseeding or managing water levels. Meanwhile 80% support increasing monitoring of wild rice plants and waters; 80% support using Minnesota state harvesting permit fees for wild rice stewardship activities only; and 76% support expanding education and outreach programs to raise awareness about the ecological, nutritional, and cultural values of wild rice. Three-quarters of respondents support coordinating wild rice habitat conservation efforts between tribal, state, and local entities. Nearly the same percentage (73%) support conducting more research on wild rice.

# Survey Findings

Sociodemographic charac	teristics	Ν	Percent
Gender Identity	Male	506	76.3
	Female	153	23.1
	Non-binary/gender non-conforming	4	0.6
	Other	1	0.2
Age	Mean	54.8	-
	Median	59.0	-
	Minimum	19	-
	Maximum	84	-
Education	Did not finish high school	8	1.2
	Completed high school	61	9.4
	Some college but no degree	80	12.3
	Associate degree or vocational degree	108	16.7
	College bachelor's degree	176	27.2
	Some college graduate work	39	6.0
	Completed college graduate degree (Master's or PhD)	176	27.2
Race & Ethnicity	White (For example, German, Irish, English, Italian,	625	06.7
-	Polish, French, Swedish, Norwegian, etc.)	055	90.7
	American Indian or Alaska Native (for example,		
	Minnesota Chippewa Tribe, Shakopee	20	50
	Mdewakanton Sioux, Navajo Nation, Mayan, Aztec,	20	5.8
	Nome Eskimo Community, etc.)		
	Asian (For example, Chinese, Filipino, Asian Indian,	5	0.0
	Vietnamese, Hmong, Korean, Japanese, etc.)	3	0.8
	Some other race, ethnicity, or heritage (for example,	4	0.0
	Sami, Chippewa Indian, American, Indian)	4	0.6
	Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish heritage (for example,		
	Mexican or Mexican American, Puerto Rican,	2	0.3
	Cuban, Salvadoran, Dominican, Colombian, etc.)		
	Black or African American (for example, African		
	American, Jamaican, Haitian, Nigerian, Ethiopian,	2	0.3
	Somalian, etc.)		
Minnesota resident	Yes	629	96.8
	No	21	3.2
Household income	Under \$20,000	65	10.5
	\$20,000-\$49,000	136	21.9
	\$50,000-\$74,999	143	23.1
	\$75,000-\$99,999	115	18.5
	\$100,000-\$149,999	107	17.3
	\$150,000-\$199,999	27	4.4
	\$200,000-\$249,999	14	2.3
	\$250,000-\$299,999	3	.5
	\$300,000 or more	10	1.6

Table 1. Respondents' sociodemographic characteristics

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Questions 21, 22, 23, 24, 25

Table 2. Respondents' reporting on whether they harvested wild rice outside of Minnesota in 2018

Response	Ν	Percent
Yes	15	2.2
No	657	97.8

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 1

Table 3. Respondents' reporting on where else they harvested wild rice

Response	Ν	Percent
Wisconsin	3	75.0
Ontario, Canada	1	25.0

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 1a

Table 4. Respondents' reporting on whether they harvested wild rice in Minnesota in 2018

Response	Ν	Percent
Yes	607	91.3
No	58	8.7

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 2

Table 5. Approximate pounds of wild rice that individual respondents harvested in 2018 in Minnesota (unprocessed weight).

N		Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum	Sum
	603	138.7 lbs.	254.2 lbs.	0 lbs.	3,100 lbs.	83,633 lbs.

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 3

Table 6. Respondents' reporting on whether they sold unprocessed wild rice that they harvested in 2018 in Minnesota

Response	Ν	Percent	
Yes	20	3.3	
No	589	96.7	

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 4

Table 7. Approximate pounds of unprocessed wild rice that respondents sold in 2018

Ν		Mean		Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
	17		585.4 lbs.	621.1 lbs.	10 lbs.	2,000 lbs.
Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 4a						

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 4a

Table 8. Approximate pounds of 2018 wild rice (finished weight/weight after processing) that respondents processed or had processed in 2018

N	Mean		Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
	604	64.9 lbs.	100.0 lbs.	0 lbs.	1,200 lbs.
a	001037	0	TT	-	

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 5

Table 9. Respondents' reporting on what they did or intended to do with their processed wild rice harvested in 2018 (n=543)

Response	Ν	Avg. percent*
Keep for personal use	529	63.6
Give away or share with others	380	27.5
Sell	90	7.5

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 5a

\*What respondents did with their wild rice in averaged overall percent; (e.g., overall 90 or 16.9% of respondents reported selling some portion of their processed wild rice.)

	Not a problem	Slight problem	Moderate problem	Severe problem
	(Percent)	(Percent)	(Percent)	(Percent)
Having the time to go	46.5	23.0	21.8	8.7
Rice worms	41.7	33.5	15.7	9.2
Weather conditions	44.5	32.9	14.1	8.5
Knowing when to harvest	47.8	31.4	16.9	4.0
Knowing where to harvest	52.2	24.6	18.8	4.3
Water levels too high	64.5	13.9	11.2	10.5
Other harvesters damaging	61.5	24.7	9.4	4.3
plants				
Finding a wild rice processor	68.1	14.0	12.3	5.6
Other vegetation crowding out wild rice	65.6	22.1	9.7	2.5
The physical challenge of harvesting	63.6	26.0	9.1	1.3
Finding a partner	71.1	15.5	10.7	2.7
Too much harvesting	67.1	23.8	6.9	2.2
pressure—over-harvesting				
Water levels too low	78.4	12.5	6.3	2.7
Non-harvesters damaging	77.6	16.8	3.4	2.3
plants (e.g., boaters, shoreland				
owners)				
Wild rice plants eaten by other	78.2	17.6	3.7	0.5
animals or waterfowl				
Seeing other harvesters using improper techniques	82.3	12.2	3.0	2.5
Fungal brown spot disease	81.8	12.4	4.2	1.6
Seeing too many other	78.6	17.7	3.1	0.5
harvesters on the water				
The financial costs	85.4	9.7	4.5	0.5
Having the proper equipment to harvest	85.7	9.8	3.5	1.0
Knowing how to harvest	85.5	10.7	3.1	0.7
Finding a wild rice buyer	93.5	3.4	2.2	0.9
Too little harvesting	92.4	6.1	1.2	0.3
pressure—under-harvesting				
Having transportation to wild	95.3	3.7	0.8	0.2
rice waters				
Other* (n=104)	5.8	3.8	21.2	69.2

Table 10. Respondents' reporting on problems they experienced in their 2018 wild rice harvest [n=672]

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 8 Numbers shown are percentage of respondents who selected each rating. Items are listed in descending order by overall means. \*Other comments are listed in Table 27.

Year	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very good
2018	24.1	27.8	25.1	18.8	4.2
2017	3.1	10.5	28.9	31.6	25.9
2016	5.1	11.3	30.8	40.5	12.3
G 0(	10.10	·			0

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 9 Numbers shown are percentage of respondents who selected each rating.

Table 12. Respondents' rating of the quality of wild rice "crop" over the last three years? [n=672]

Year	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very good
2018	11.0	15.0	30.8	33.2	10.1
2017	3.3	7.0	24.7	40.3	24.7
2016	3.6	8.7	25.0	46.4	16.3

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 9 Numbers shown are percentage of respondents who selected each rating.

Table 13. Number of years respondents have riced including 2018.

Ν	Mean	Minimum	Maximum
663	14	0	65

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 10

Table 14. How	respondents rated	their knowledge	e about sustain	able wild	rice ha	arvesting
14010 1 11 110 11	respondentes rated	then mit of least		acte mina	1100 110	ai vebenng

Knowledge level	Ν	Percent
Not at all	34	5.1
knowledgeable		
Slightly	121	18.2
knowledgeable		
Moderately	296	44.6
knowledgeable		
Very knowledgeable	213	32.1

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 11

Information Source	N	Percent
Family or friends	487	20.3
Word of mouth from other harvesters	463	19.3
DNR Hunting and Trapping Regulations Handbook	293	12.2
MN DNR website	280	11.7
1854 Treaty Authority website	190	7.9
Signage posted at lake access points	158	6.6
Local MN DNR staff	115	4.8
Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission website (GLIFWC)	80	3.3
Local media (e.g., radio, newspaper)	48	2.0
Tribal website	48	2.0
Social media sites	47	2.0
Rice chiefs or other tribal officials	41	1.7
Training or classes	29	1.2
Other*	120	5.0

Table 15. Sources of information respondents use about wild rice and wild rice harvesting

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 12 \*Other comments (option 12n) are listed in Table 28.

Tabl	e 16.	The	source	of int	formation	1 that	respo	ndents	feel	would	<u>best</u>	provide	them	with	inform	nation
abou	t wild	d rice	e in the	futur	e*		_					_				

Information Source	Ν	Percent
MN DNR website	118	19.6
Family or friends	115	19.1
Word of mouth from other harvesters	86	14.3
DNR Hunting and Trapping Regulations Handbook	56	9.3
Local MN DNR staff	26	4.3
Signage posted at lake access points	22	3.6
Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission website (GLIFWC)	14	2.3
Training or classes	14	2.3
Local media (e.g., radio, newspaper)	8	1.0
Tribal website	6	1.0
Social media sites	5	< 0.1
Other**	45	7.5

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 120 \*Respondents were asked to specify their top 3 information sources from the list provided in question 12 \*\*Other comments are listed in Table 28.

Beliefs	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neither disagree nor agree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree
Wild rice tastes good	90.0	8.7	0.1	0.1	1.0
Wild rice is a healthful food source	87.1	11.1	0.8	0	1.1
Wild rice is important to a healthy	84.6	9.7	4.9	0	1.0
natural ecosystem					
I enjoy harvesting wild rice	78.2	18.3	1.7	9.8	1.1
Eating wild rice harvested by hand is	73.1	20.5	4.8	0.2	1.5
special to me					
Being able to harvest wild rice means	65.2	23.8	7.2	2.0	1.8
a lot to me					
Harvesting wild rice strengthens my	61.4	27.6	8.7	1.1	1.2
connection with nature					
Wild rice and wild rice waters need	60.9	19.2	14.7	2.7	2.5
better protection					
Wild rice is an important food source	45.8	30.8	16.4	4.5	2.6
for me					
Harvesting wild rice is a way for me	32.2	30.1	29.6	3.8	4.4
to take care of the natural world					
Harvesting wild rice brings my family	24.8	39.0	25.2	3.7	7.3
closer together					
I feel that wild rice is a part of me	26.2	23.3	34.8	7.4	8.3
Wild rice is important to the region's	18.9	35.2	30.6	7.6	7.8
economy					
Many important family memories or	26.0	27.8	26.8	8.3	11.1
traditions are tied to wild rice					
I feel a sense of pride in my heritage	22.3	15.6	46.8	4.2	11.1
when I am harvesting wild rice					
I enjoy processing wild rice	20.2	11.8	53.1	7.9	7.0
Harvesting wild rice is an important	18.3	24.5	38.2	6.6	12.4
way for me to express my rights and					
responsibilities					
Harvesting wild rice brings my	15.3	23.3	43.9	7.4	10.0
community closer together					
Wild rice is central to my own	14.8	27.8	35.6	6.9	14.9
identity					
Wild rice is important to my	11.1	18.5	42.6	10.3	17.5
community's economy					
Wild rice is central to the preservation	9.7	14.1	44.5	10.9	20.8
of my culture					

Table 17. Respondents' views and beliefs about wild rice and wild rice harvesting

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 13 Items are listed in descending order by overall means.

Actions	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Neither oppose nor support	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose
Enforcing existing water quality regulations to protect wild rice	67.6	19.3	8.8	1.7	2.6
Expanding wild rice restoration programs (e.g., reseeding, managing water levels)	56.5	28.9	10.7	1.8	2.1
Using Minnesota state harvesting permit fees for wild rice stewardship activities only	58.5	21.7	16.1	2.4	1.4
Increasing water quality regulations to protect wild rice	56.9	22.3	13.3	3.8	3.7
Increasing monitoring of wild rice plants and waters	47.0	33.2	14.0	3.2	2.6
Expanding education and outreach programs to raise awareness about the ecological, nutritional, and cultural values of wild rice	44.1	31.6	20.1	2.3	2.0
Coordinating wild rice habitat conservation efforts between tribal, state, and local entities	47.5	27.2	18.6	3.0	3.7
Expanding public and private partnerships to protect wild rice	40.4	33.7	19.8	2.7	3.3
Conducting more research on wild rice	39.5	33.1	21.5	3.4	2.6
Controlling water levels to sustain wild rice habitats	33.1	33.7	23.6	5.3	4.3
Determining harvest dates for specific waters based on wild rice readiness, rather than a set annual date (e.g., Aug 15) for all waters	33.6	30.4	16.7	9.0	10.2
Improving water access points for harvesters	21.2	27.3	34.8	10.9	5.8
Requiring harvesters to watch a short video on sustainable harvesting practices before receiving a state permit	11.2	27.6	23.6	17.4	20.2

Table 18. Respondents' degree of support or non-support for wild rice management actions

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 14 Items are listed in descending order by overall means.

Table 19. Respondents' age when they began harvesting wild rice

657 36 34 17	Ν		Mean		Median		Standard Deviation	
	(	657		36		34	17	1

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 15

Table 20. Who introduced respondents to wild rice harvesting	?
--	---

	N	Percent
Friend	351	52.2
I learned on my own	137	20.4
Other family member	106	15.8
Parent	101	15.0
Spouse	56	8.3
Co-workers	35	5.2
Organized class or group	29	4.3
Other community member	28	4.2

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 16

Other responses included tribal elders, tribal members, books/magazines, McGregor Wild Rice Days "Other" responses are listed in Table 29.

|--|

	Ν	Percent
Yes	476	71.3
No	192	28.7
Comment 2010 Minus and State Wild F	La II.	O

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 17

Table 22. For those who have introduced others to wild rice harvesting, about how many people have they introduced?

Me	an		Median			Sta	ndard o	devi	ation
		9.34			4				48.32
9	2010 10		117'1 1 D	TT		a	0		17

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 17a

Table 23. How many times did respondents eat Minnesota natural wild rice in the past 12 months?

	Frequency	Percent
1-3 times a month	270	40.4
1-3 times a week	163	24.4
6-11 times	137	20.5
1-5 times	76	11.4
4 or more times a week	20	3.0
I did not eat Minnesota natural wild rice in the past 12 months	3	0.4

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 18

	n	Percent
State	647	96.3
Non-resident	25	3.7
Tribal membership card/permit	23	3.4
Off-reservation permit	5	0.7
On reservation, non-Indian permit	2	0.3

Table 24. Under which license(s) have respondents harvested wild rice?

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 19

Table 25. Percent of respondents who provided additional comments about wild rice harvesting or management

Yes		
	369	54.9
No	303	45.1

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 26

Table 26a. Respondents' additional comments (55% of respondents wrote in comments; comments are organized by theme)

## HARVESTING ACCESS AND LOGISTICS

#### Access to Information

## Training

- More classes, education and training opportunities should be offered.
- Should be options for University or DNR classes, or mentorship program to help younger generation learn.
- Indigenous knowledge should inform class content; partner with local tribes
- Offer training videos
- o Harvesting is best learned by structural education and field work

*Lake information—harvesting and timing* 

- o Information on harvesting and where rice is located is scarce
- o Good ricing lakes information is private and should not be on DNR website
- Hard to find information on where and when to harvest wild rice
- Lack of information from the DNR website
- 1854 Treaty website has good information
- Information changes quickly and is hard to keep up on

#### Harvesting Season and Hours

#### Lake-specific openings

- o Open lakes at different times
- Lake by lake, or region by region
- Tribal and state season on same body of water should open at same time

#### Non-continuous season

- Not being open every day, instead having scheduled openings
- Giving lakes time to "heal"
- Not confident in DNR to gauge wild rice readiness
- State-wide harvesting start time
  - All lakes open at same time
  - Harvesting season is too early
  - Start time should be determined on a year-by-year basis

#### Harvesting hours

- Earlier start time
  - Later end time, expand hours
  - o Extend hours into the late afternoon/evening to create greater access
- Later start time
- 9am too early, still dew and will damage bed (10am-4pm or later is better)

Harvesting Technique

*Green rice and ricing too early* 

- o Early harvest of green rice is a problem and happens too often-damages wild rice
- Beaten/pounded ruins rice for other harvesters
- Not enough enforcement or education of DNR staff about wild rice

#### Scouting

o Extremely important to harvesters in order to assess where rice is/when it's ready

#### Lake access

- Open more lakes to harvesting
- Better canoe access
- Don't improve water access (work for it)
- Keep open to everybody

#### Processing

• Small batch processors are hard to find

- More information on where a person can process wild rice is needed
- More information on how to self-process is needed

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 26

 Table 26b. Respondents' additional comments (organized by theme)

### MANAGEMENT AND REGULATION

Less, don't overregulate

- o Less regulation wanted, or don't add more
- $\circ$  Let it be the natural way it is
- DNR messes up regulation
- Less politics involved

More, better enforcement and management

- Would like to see better enforcement of regulations
- A lot of ricers are going too early and hurting rice
- More protections and better management of resource

Mention of DNR

Positive comments

• Doing a good job

- Poor management or enforcement
  - Staff did not have knowledge about wild rice
  - Need better training
  - o Need more enforcement of wild rice
  - Management ruined wild rice lakes

#### More tribal involvement

- o Involve tribes in management and coordination of opening of lakes
- Would like to see increased tribal involvement in DNR

License or permit

- Too expensive
- Change to multiple days
- Nonresident only one day

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 26

### Table 26c. Respondents' additional comments (organized by theme)

## **IMPORTANCE OF WILD RICE**

Enjoyment of wild rice harvesting

Environmental

Family and food

Wild rice must be protected

Spiritual or cultural connection

Developed vs. native wild rice

- Wanting wild rice to be kept "native"
- Concerns over cultivated wild rice being near native stands
- Need to understand the difference between them as impacts on ecosystem/environment differ
- Overall against genetically modified/cultivated wild rice

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 26

Table 26d. Respondents' additional comments (organized by theme)

WILD R	RICE HEALTH AND THREATS
Factors in	mpacting wild rice
Animals,	birds
οH	Beavers, muskrats, waterfowl (swans, geese)
Ergot	
Plants	
οI	Lily pads, "invasives," pickerel weed, reeds, and cattails
Pollutan	ts
o I	Herbicides
0 5	Sulfate/sulfide mining
οI	Enforce sulfate standard
0 "	'Keep lakes clean''
Recreation	on, development
0 N	Motorized boats
οI	Lakeshore development
o I	Road building
Rice wor	ms
Water le	vels
0 ]	Гоо high
Weather	
0 "	'Bad'' weather
0 5	Storms destroyed crop—wind, heavy rainfall, hail
o (	Cold, late spring affects germination
Climate of	change
o (	Climate change is happening and affecting wild rice negatively
0 V	Wild rice is indicator of water quality/climate change
o (	Concerns about climate change
0 N	Mid-to-late summer storms have destroyed crops
Observed	d decline of wild rice and harvesters
0 I	Decline of wild rice in lakes over the years
0 2	2018 was a bad crop year
0 "	'Dving art or way of life''
0 /	A lot of work
0 /	A lot of older harvesters—very little recruitment of younger generation
0 I	Lack of public support
o F	Fewer people out harvesting
Referenc	e to mining or pipeline
Is a three	at
15 u m c	" No Line 3/Polymet/Tech/Twin Metals/conner and nickel mining/mines or ninelines
	t is a threat to the future of wild rice
	Will harm environment
	Water quality standards must be enforced
0 F	Enbridge has spills/accidents frequently
	Short-term economic gain for permanent pollution
0 I	Destroy tourist economy
Is not a t	hreat
	Have harvested by mining areas and seen no effects
	Norked as a geologist and seen no ill effects

 O
 Worked as a geologist and seen no ill effects

 Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 26

Table 27. Respondents' comments regarding "other problems" they experienced in their 2018 wild rice harvest (see Table 10, n=104)

Comments

Poor crop in most wild rice waters in 2018 [23 mentions]

Storm/wind damage to wild rice crop [22 mentions]

People harvesting too early [14 mentions]

Restricted harvesting hours; Time of day restrictions on the license. Had to take off work [6 mentions]

High water/flooding [3 mentions]

Poor quality rice/Empty husks [2 mentions]

Application of herbicides on rice! [2 mentions]

Lack of dense patches on many lakes likely due to precipitation pattern over the summer

Temperature and humidity

bad, contaminated water

Conditions

Climate Change, etc.

Fluctuating water levels

Need an actual opening date

DNR enforcement of when to harvest

License is for a specific day or therefore dependent on weather

Accessibility

Non-resident license fees

Cost of License

Home processing equipment/time

Other worms spiders or bugs

Docks and development. Shoreland owners damaging plants

Wild rice available to harvest on waters close to residence

I'm getting old (72 years) [age]

Made own sticks

Hard Labor! Great reward!

Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 8

Table 28. Respondents' comments regarding "other" sources of information they use about wild rice and wild rice harvesting (see Tables 15 and 16)

Comments
Scouting [70 mentions]
Personal/local contacts [names omitted] [11 mentions]
Processors/buyers [7 mentions]
Personal knowledge/records/experience [6 mentions]
Internet, YouTube and websites [5 mentions]
Folk school [4 mentions]
Books [3 mentions]
Google Earth [3 mentions]
Forest service [2 mentions]
Sam Thayer's book Forager's Harvest and Wild Rice and Ojibwe People Book
Book – Wild rice and the Ojibway people by Vennum
Map of all lakes we can harvest
Live on Squaw lake
I am a duck hunter
W.R. game warden
White Earth rice camp
Tribal DNR
Weather for the year & conditions leading up to it
Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Questions 12n and 12o

Table 29. Respondents' comments regarding "others" who introduced them to wild rice harvesting (see Table 20)

Comments

Friend/family member/colleague [9 mentions]
Books, (e.g., authors Samuel Thayer, Brenda Child) [3 mentions]
Tribal Elder [3 mentions]
A member of the Leech Lake Nation [2 mentions]
Just started
Other harvesters through my research
Some help and tips from other ricers at accesses
Reading and practice
Life-long knowledge of the practice, friends that rice
I'm driven to learn and experience things that others have done to live and survive in the past to
appreciate what we have today
Read about it in the "Volunteer" – (MN DNR Conservation Volunteer publication)
Watched a demonstration at McGregor Minnesota Wild Rice Days
Work in Cass Lake, live in Bemidji – all know basic rice facts
Indian Resource Center Cass Lake
Source: 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey, Question 16

## **References Cited**

- Atkins, T. A., Thomas, A. G., & Stewart, J. M. (1987). The germination of wild rice seed in response to diurnally fluctuating temperatures and after-ripening period. *Aquatic Botany*, 29(3), 245–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(87)90019-2
- Dillman, D. A. (2009). *Internet, mail, and mixed-mode surveys: The tailored design method.* (3rd ed. / Don A. Dillman, Jolene D. Smyth and Leah Melani Christian..). Wiley & Sons.
- Drewes, A. D., & Silbernagel, J. (2012). Uncovering the spatial dynamics of wild rice lakes, harvesters and management across Great Lakes landscapes for shared regional conservation. *Ecological Modelling*, 229, 97–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.09.015
- Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa. (2018). *Expanding the Narrative of Tribal Health: The Effects of Wild Rice Water Quality Rule Changes on Tribal Health* (p. 66) [Health Impact Assessment]. Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa.
- MN Department of Natural Resources (MN DNR). (2008). *Natural wild rice in Minnesota: A wild rice study document submitted to the Minnesota Legislature*. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. https://www.leg.state.mn.us/docs/2008/mandated/080235.pdf
- MN Department of Natural Resources (MN DNR). (2018). MN wild rice harvest license 2018 data.
- Myrbo, A., Swain, E. B., Engstrom, D. R., Wasik, J. C., Brenner, J., Shore, M. D., Peters, E. B., & Blaha, G. (2017). Sulfide Generated by Sulfate Reduction is a Primary Controller of the Occurrence of Wild Rice (Zizania palustris) in Shallow Aquatic Ecosystems. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 122(11), 2736–2753. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG003787

#### Appendices

Appendix A. Survey Cover Letter

**LETTERHEAD** October 30, 2020

[First Name] [Last Name] [Street Address] [City] [State] [Zip code]

#### Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvester Survey

Dear [First Name] [Last Name],

I am writing to ask for your help in a research study about wild rice harvesting in Minnesota. The study is being led by Mae Davenport at the University of Minnesota – Twin Cities. The study is funded by the University of Minnesota and was designed by UMN Twin Cities researchers with input from several partner tribal organizations in Minnesota and Wisconsin.

I am contacting you because you are on a list of 2018 Minnesota State Wild Rice Harvesting Permit Holders. As a State permit holder, we believe you have an important perspective to share on wild rice harvesting and management, even if you did not make it out to harvest this past year. The purpose of this survey is to better understand harvesting practices over time. Additionally, this survey will provide valuable information to natural resource managers about the values of wild rice harvesting, concerns harvesters might have about wild rice and opinions on wild rice management.

We understand that this may be a busy time of the year for you, so we really appreciate you taking the time to help us with this study. It should take you only about 20 minutes to complete the **questionnaire.** This survey is voluntary and completely confidential. The risks of participating in this study are minimal. There are no direct benefits to you for participating in this study. You are free to withdraw at any time. Completion of this survey indicates your voluntary consent to participate. Your decision to participate will not affect your current or future relationship with the University of Minnesota. The ID # on the front page of your survey is used to help us track mailings, ensuring that your name is never affiliated with your responses. Please answer the questions as completely as possible. Once you have completed the questionnaire, fold it in thirds and mail it back in the enclosed self-addressed, postage-paid envelope.

We would be happy to answer any questions or listen to any comments you may have about this study. Please feel free to contact me by phone at 612-624-2721, or by email at mdaven@umn.edu. If you have any questions or concerns regarding the study and would like to talk to someone other than the researcher(s), you are encouraged to contact the Research Subjects' Advocate Line, D-528 Mayo, 420 Delaware Street S.E., Minneapolis, Minnesota, 55455; telephone 612-625-1650.

I hope you enjoy completing the questionnaire and I look forward to receiving your response.

Sincerely,

Mae Davenport Director, Center for Changing Landscapes

# Appendix B. Questionnaire

Minne	sota State Wi University of №	Id Rice Harvester Survey
Thank you fo please fold it	r your help with this imp in thirds and mail it bacl	ortant survey! After completing this questionnaire, < in the enclosed self-addressed stamped envelope.
This survey ques boundaries, scop constitute	stionnaire or any data analyzed or repo le or use of wild rice waters as either d e a wild rice waters determination list :	nted as part of the survey project do not constitute a claim concerning the location, esignated or existing uses. The wild rice waters identified in this survey effort do not and shall not be used as such in any Tribal, State or Federal legal proceedings.
Wild Rice Harves	sting Experience	
1. Did vou harvest wil	d rice outside of Minn	esota in 2018?
[ ] No	[]Yes	
<b>1a. If <i>yes</i>, whe</b> r [] Wisco [] Michi [] North [] South [] South	r <b>e else did you harves</b> <sup>I</sup> nsin gan Dakota Dakota	<b>t wild rice?</b> <i>(Check all that apply)</i> [ ] Ontario, Canada [ ] Manitoba, Canada [ ] Other (please specify):
2. Did you harvest wil [] No (If no, please	<b>d rice <u>in Minnesota</u> in</b> se skip ahead to <u>Question 9</u>	2018?
3. Approximately howlbs.	r <b>many pounds of wild</b> . DO NOT include your part.	rice <u>did you harvest in 2018 in Minnesota</u> ? ner's share, if applicable.
<b>4. Have you <u>sold any u</u> [ ]</b> No	Inprocessed wild rice	that was harvested in 2018 in Minnesota?
4a. If <i>yes</i> , abou 5. Approximately how lbs.	it how many pounds of 7 many pounds of 2011	of unprocessed wild rice have you sold? lbs. B wild rice <u>have you processed or had processed</u> ?
5a. Of the <u>proc</u> intend to	essed wild rice from 2	2018, about how many pounds did you or do you
1. keep fo 2. give av 3. sell?	or personal use? vay or share with others?	Ibs. Ibs. Ibs.
6. Who processed you [ ] I processed the [ ] A community f [ ] A family memb	r 2018 wild rice? (Chec wild rice myself acility per or friend	k all that apply) [ ] A commercial processor (please specify): [ ] Other (please specify):

7. Where did you harvest wild rice in Minnesota <u>in 2018</u>? Please indicate the waters you harvested on, nearby town, counties, number of trips per lake/stream and estimated amount harvested in total lbs.

	Ricing Water Body	Town and/or County (please also list the nearest town and/or county)	Number of trips	Estimated amount harvested from this location (in total lbs.)
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				

# 8. How much of a problem were the following issues for you in your <u>2018 wild rice harvest</u>? (*Please check one box in each row*)

		Not a problem	Slight problem	Moderate problem	Severe problem
a.	Having the time to go				
b.	Finding a partner				
c.	Having the proper equipment to harvest				
d.	Having transportation to wild rice waters				
e.	Knowing <u>where</u> to harvest				

		Not a problem	Slight problem	Moderate problem	Severe problem
f.	Knowing <u>when</u> to harvest				
g.	Knowing <u>how</u> to harvest				
h.	The financial costs				
i.	The physical challenge of harvesting				
j.	Weather conditions				
k.	Water levels were too high				
I.	Water levels were too low				
m.	Rice worms				
n.	Fungal brown spot disease				
о.	Wild rice plants were eaten by other animals or waterfowl				
p.	Other vegetation crowding out wild rice				
q.	Other harvesters damaging plants				
r.	Non-harvesters damaging plants (e.g., boaters, shoreland owners)				
s.	Too much harvester pressure – over harvesting				
t.	Too little harvesting pressure – under harvesting				
u.	Seeing too many other harvesters on the water				
v.	Seeing other harvesters using improper techniques				
w.	Finding a wild rice buyer				
x.	Finding a wild rice processor				
у.	Other (please specify):				

9. In your opinion, how would you rate the amount of the wild rice "crop" and its quality of
over the last three years? (Please check one box in each row)

		Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Don't know
2019	Amount						
2018	Quality						
2017	Amount						
2017	Quality						
2016	Amount						
2010	Quality						

11	Have know	ببر ملطممه اممان	مديمة بتمنيد اماريم	wan ava ah aw	أمائيين ومشغو ويسوط	Culde autotation h h v 2
цτ.	HOW KHOV	vieugeapie w	ould vou sav	vou are about	. narvesting wild	rice sustainably:
			Carl Contraction of the second s	20 March 1998 - Destination of the second second	and the second	<ul> <li>Incontrasting and and an anti-strategy strategy and an anti- strategy and an an</li></ul>

[] Not at all [] Slightly knowledgeable [] Moderately knowledgeable [] Very knowledgeable

# **12.** What sources of information do you use about wild rice and wild rice harvesting? (*Please check all that apply*)

- [] a. Signage posted at lake access points
- [] b. Great Lakes Indian Fish & Wildlife
- Commission website (GLIFWC)
- [] c. 1854 Treaty Authority website
- [] d. Tribal website
- [ ] e. MN DNR Hunting and Trapping Regs Handbook
- [] f. MN DNR website

[] g. Local MN DNR staff

- [] h. Local media (e.g., radio, newspaper)
- [] i. Training or classes
- [] j. Family or friends
- [] k. Social media sites
  - [] I. Rice chiefs or other tribal officials
  - [] m. Word of mouth from other rice harvesters
  - [] n. Other (please specify): \_

12o. From the previous list (Question 12, a-n), which three information sources would be the <u>best</u> to provide you with information about wild rice in the future? (*Please list in order of best, second-best, and third-best information source*)

1	2	3

# 13. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with each of the statements

below. (Please check one box in each row)

		Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither disagree nor agree	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree
a.	Wild rice is central to my own identity					
b.	Wild rice is central to the preservation of my culture					
c.	Harvesting wild rice brings my family closer together					
d.	Harvesting wild rice brings my community closer together					
e.	Wild rice is a healthful food source					
f.	Wild rice tastes good					
g.	Wild rice is important to a healthy natural ecosystem					
h.	Wild rice is an important source of food for me					
i.	Many important family memories or traditions are tied to wild rice					
j.	Harvesting wild rice is an important way for me to express my rights and responsibilities					
k.	Wild rice is important to my community's economy					
Ŀ	Wild rice is important to the region's economy					
m.	Being able to harvest wild rice means a lot to me					
n.	I enjoy harvesting wild rice					
о.	I enjoy processing wild rice					
p.	Harvesting wild rice strengthens my connection with nature					
q.	Eating natural wild rice harvested by hand is special to me					
r.	I feel a sense of pride in my heritage when I am harvesting wild rice					
s.	Harvesting wild rice is a way for me to take care of the natural world					
t.	I feel that wild rice is a part of me					
u.	Wild rice and wild rice waters need better protection					

## Wild Rice Management

#### 14. To what extent do you support or oppose the following actions to protect and restore

wild rice? (Please check one box in each row)

		Strongly oppose	Somewhat oppose	Neither oppose nor support	Somewhat support	Strongly support
a.	Determining harvest dates for specific waters based on wild rice readiness, rather than a set annual date (e.g., Aug. 15) for all waters					
b.	Requiring harvesters to watch a short video on sustainable harvesting practices before receiving a State permit					
c.	Enforcing existing water quality regulations to protect wild rice					
d.	Increasing monitoring of wild rice plants and waters					
e.	Expanding wild rice restoration programs (e.g., reseeding, managing water levels)					
f.	Improving water access points for harvesters					
g.	Coordinating wild rice habitat conservation efforts between tribal, state, and local entities					
h.	Conducting more research on wild rice					
i.	Controlling water levels to sustain wild rice habitats					
j.	Expanding public and private partnerships to protect wild rice					
k.	Increasing water quality regulations to protect wild rice					
l.	Expanding education and outreach programs to raise awareness about the ecological, nutritional and cultural values of wild rice					
m.	Using Minnesota State Harvesting Permit fees for wild rice stewardship activities <u>only</u>					

## **Information About You**

15. At what age did you begin harvesting wild rice?

#### 16. Who introduced you to wild rice harvesting? (Please check all that apply)

- [] Parent
- [] Other family member (not a parent)
- [] Spouse
- [] Friend
- [] I learned on my own

- [] Organized class or group
- [] Co-workers
- [] Other community member
- [] Other (please specify): \_\_\_\_

#### 17. Have you introduced others to wild rice harvesting?

[]Yes []No

17a. If yes, about how many other people have you introduced to wild rice harvesting?

\_\_\_\_\_ (Estimate if unsure)

18. About how many t	times did you eat	<u>Minnesota</u>	natural wild ri	ice in the past :	12 months?
(Diance check and)					

(Please check one)

[] I did not eat Minnesota natural wild rice in the past 12 months

- [ ] 1-5 times
- [ ] 6-11 times
- [] 1-3 times a month
- [] 1-3 times a week
- [] 4 or more times a week

#### 19. What license(s) have you harvested wild rice under? (Please check all that apply)

[] State license [] Tribal membership card/permit [] Non-resident [] Off-reservation permit [] On-reservation, non-Indian permit

20. Are you a Minnesota resident? [] Yes [] No

21. Which of the following best describes your total household income from all sources in <u>2017</u> before taxes? (*Please check one box*)

[ ] Under \$20,000	[] \$75,000 - \$99,999	[] \$200,000 - \$249,999
[] \$20,000 - \$49,999	[] \$100,000 - \$149,999	[] \$250,000 - \$299,999
[] \$50,000 - \$74,999	[] \$150,000 - \$199,999	[] \$300,000 or more
		[] Prefer not to respond

#### 22. What is the highest level of formal education you have completed? (Please check one box)

- [] Did not finish high school
- [] College bachelor's degree

[] Prefer not to respond

- [ ] Completed high school[ ] Some college but no degree
- [] Some college graduate work
  - [] Completed graduate degree (Master's or PhD)
- [] Associate degree or vocational degree

#### 23. In what year were you born?

#### 24. How do you describe yourself? (Please check all that apply)

[] Female [] Male [] Transgender

[] Non-binary/gender non-conforming

[ ] Other (please specify): \_\_\_\_\_ [ ] Prefer not to respond

#### **25. What category or categories best describe you?** (*Please check all that apply*)

#### [] White

For example, German, Irish, English, Italian, Polish, French, Swedish, Norwegian, etc.

- [ ] Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish heritage For example, Mexican or Mexican American, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Salvadoran, Dominican, Colombian, etc.
- [ ] Black or African American

For example, African American, Jamaican, Haitian, Nigerian, Ethiopian, Somalian, etc.

[] Asian

For example, Chinese, Filipino, Asian Indian, Vietnamese, Hmong, Korean, Japanese, etc.

#### [ ] American Indian or Alaska Native

For example, Minnesota Chippewa Tribe, Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux, Navajo Nation, Mayan, Aztec, Nome Eskimo Community, etc. (Please specify tribal affiliation):

- [ ] Middle Eastern or North African For example, Lebanese, Iranian, Egyptian, Syrian, Moroccan, Algerian etc.
- [ ] Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander For example, Native Hawaiian, Samoan, Chamorro, Tongan, Fijian, Marshallese, etc.
- [ ] Some other race, ethnicity or heritage (Please specify):
- [ ] Prefer not to respond

26. Do you have any comments about wild rice harvesting or management? We welcome your insights!

### Thank you for your help!

If you have questions about the survey or project, please contact Dr. Mae Davenport, Department of Forest Resources, 115 Green Hall, 1530 Cleveland Avenue N., St. Paul, MN 55108. Phone: (612) 624-2721.

## Appendix C. Research Summary



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

# Which management strategies do state-permitted harvesters support?



"Wild rice, because of its nutritional value and important

component of the natural order of things, is probably one of the most important plants to all Minnesotans. From human consumption to the waterfowl and shorebirds that eat the kernels to the variety of insects, which feed redwinged blackbirds and others, it needs all the protection and research that we can afford, because once it's gone, it's hard to replace."

--survey respondent

This study was conducted as part of the Kawe Gidaa-Naanaagadawendaamin Manoomin (First We Must Consider Manoomin/Psin) project. The partnerships created through this project are among its most important outcomes. We would like to acknowledge our project collaborators and partners: Mark Bellcourt (White Earth Nation), retired UMN; Jeremy Bloomquist, St. Croix Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin Environmental Services; Perry Bunting, Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe). Laceh Lake India College student; Jamie Colvin (Semtinole Nation of Oklahoma), Haskell Indian Nations University student; Diana Dalbotten, UMN; Mea Davenport, UMN; Peter David, Great Lakes Indian Fish & Wildlife Commission; Karen Diver (Fond du Lac), University of Arizona; Mike Dockry (Citizen Potawatomi Nation), UMN; Bree Duever, UMN; McKaylee Duquain (Menominee), UMN student; Diana Dalbotten, UMN; Stere Davei (Citizen Potawatomi Nation), UMN; Bree Duever, UMN; Kataylee Duquain (Menominee), UMN student; Dia Graven (Lac du Flambeau Gid Ci Lake Superior Chippewa Indians), Swith College student; Firk Kojola, UMN; Roger LaBine Gid U Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa; Jiohn D. Johnson Sr. (Lac du Flambeau; Anna Kadrie, UMN student; Shannon Kesner (Fond du Lac); Hannah Jo King, UMN student; Firk Kojola, UMN; Roger LaBine Gida Watent; Shannon Kesner (Fond du Lac); Hannah Jo King, UMN student; Firk Kojola, UMN; Roger LaBine Gida Watent; Shannon Kesner (Fond du Lac); Hannah Jo King, UMN student; Rink Kojola, UMN; Roger LaBine Gida Watent; Shannon Kesner (Fond du Lac); Hannah Jo King, UMN student; Rink Kojola, UMN; Roger LaBine Gida Watent; Shannon Kesner (Fond du Lac); Hannah Jo King, UMN student; Rink Kojola, UMN; Roger LaBine Gida Watent; Shannon Kesner (Fond du Lac); Hannah Jo King, UMN student; Rink Kojola, UMN; Roger LaBine Gida Watent; Pieret County Potawatomi), UMN student; Marce Band of Lake Superior Chippewa; Bran Muller (Fond du Lac), Bendij State University student; Anny Myro, St. Croix Watershed Research Station, Scleince Museum of MN; GeneHua Crystal Ng, U

\*This survey questionnaire or any data analyzed or reported as part of the survey project do not constitute a claim concerning the location, boundaries, scope or use of wild rice waters as either designated or existing uses. The wild rice waters identified in this survey effort do not constitute a wild rice waters determination list and shall not be used as such in any Tribal, State or Federal legal proceedings.